

1       AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
2       FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA  
3       JULIA HARUMI MASS (SBN 189649)  
4       WILLIAM S. FREEMAN (SBN 82002)  
5       39 Drumm Street  
6       San Francisco, CA 94111  
7       Telephone: (415) 621-2493  
8       Facsimile: (415) 255-8437  
9       Email: jmass@aclunc.org  
10      wfreeman@aclunc.org

11      ACLU FOUNDATION  
12      IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT  
13      JUDY RABINOVITZ\*  
14      125 Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
15      New York, NY 10004  
16      Telephone: (212) 549-2660  
17      Facsimile: (212) 549-2654  
18      E-mail: jrabinovitz@aclu.org

19      \*Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming

20      Attorneys for Petitioners/Plaintiffs, Lorenza Gomez, Ilsa Saravia, and Wilfredo Velasquez, on behalf  
21      of themselves individually and others similarly situated.

COOLEY LLP  
MARTIN S. SCHENKER (SBN 109828)  
NATHANIEL R. COOPER (SBN 262098)  
ASHLEY K. CORKERY (SBN 301380)  
TREVOR M. KEMPNER (SBN 310853)  
101 California Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 693-2000  
Facsimile: (415) 693-2222  
Email: mschenker@cooley.com  
ncooper@cooley.com  
acorkery@cooley.com  
tkempner@cooley.com

ACLU FOUNDATION  
IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS PROJECT  
STEPHEN B. KANG (SBN 292280)  
39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 343-0770  
Facsimile: (212) 395-0950  
E-mail: skang@aclu.org

Lorenza Gomez, as next friend for J.G., a  
minor, and on her own behalf, et al.,

Petitioners/Plaintiffs, on behalf of  
themselves individually and others  
similarly situated,

v.

Jefferson B. Sessions, et al.,

Respondents/Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-03615-VC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING LETTER  
MOTION FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY**

Trial Date: Not Yet Set  
Location: Courtroom #4  
Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria

1           **THIS MATTER** came before the Court on September 1, 2017 on the Letter re: Expedited  
2 Discovery (“the Letter”), filed jointly by Plaintiffs Gomez et al. and Defendants Sessions et al. on  
3 August 28, 2017, and on the Status Report Regarding Expedited Discovery, filed jointly by the  
4 parties on August 31, 2017. The Court, having considered the Letter, the Requests for Production of  
5 Documents submitted therewith, the Status Report, and oral argument by the parties on September 1,  
6 2017, finds that good cause exists to grant Plaintiffs’ request for expedited discovery. Accordingly,

7           IT IS ORDERED that:

8           1. Defendants United States Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) and United  
9 States Department of Health and Human Services (“HHS”) produce all documents relevant to the  
10 decisions to arrest, detain, or retain in custody (including any documents relevant to Defendants’  
11 decisions as to location and security level of custody placements of) the three named children,  
12 including documents in DHS’s or HHS’s possession that originated with, or reflect communications  
13 with, other law enforcement agencies;

14           2. DHS and HHS produce:

- 15           a. Any criteria or guidance used to identify any and all unaccompanied alien children  
16 (“UCs”) that were targeted for arrest as part of Operation Matador;
- 17           b. Any policy, procedure or instruction directing ICE or other federal agents to “arrest,  
18 among others, teenagers who entered the country without guardians and are  
19 suspected gang members,” and to “identify people in their areas that meet the  
20 criteria,” as alleged in ¶ 41 of the Plaintiffs’ Amended Petition, Dkt. No. 31;
- 21           c. Any memoranda instructing ICE field offices to prepare for immigration enforcement  
22 actions targeting suspected gang members, as alleged in ¶ 41 of Plaintiffs’ Amended  
23 Petition, Dkt. No. 31;
- 24           d. Documents and communications related to the June 12, 2017 amendment to § 1.2.4  
25 of the Office of Refugee Resettlement Guide: “Children Entering the United States  
26 Unaccompanied” (“ORR” and “ORR Guide”), which added to the criteria for  
27 determining whether to place a UC in secure care whether a UC “Has reported gang  
28 involvement or displays gang affiliation while in care” or “Has self-disclosed violent

criminal history or gang involvement”;

- e. Documents sufficient to show the identities, dates of arrest, locations of arrest, and locations of subsequent detention of any UCs previously released from HHS custody pursuant to a sponsorship agreement, but who have since been arrested by DHS since April 1, 2017 and transferred to a secure or staff secure facility, as defined in the ORR Guide, under the authority of the San Francisco-based ORR Federal Field Specialist, where an allegation of “gang involvement” or “gang affiliation” has been made as to the UCs;
- f. Documents sufficient to show any and all revisions to policies, procedures and practices, or any other measures, undertaken since July 5, 2017 by ORR to comply with the Ninth Circuit’s recent decision, *Flores, et al. v. Sessions, et al.*, 862 F.3d 863 (9th Cir. Jul. 5, 2017);
- g. Any policies and procedures used to determine whether a UC in DHS or HHS custody should be placed in a secure facility or staff secure facility, as defined in the ORR Guide.

3. DHS and HHS make a good faith effort to locate and turn over these documents at the earliest possible time, and on a rolling basis, with HHS complete case files for the named children produced by September 8, 2017, and a significant portion of the remaining responsive documents produced well before September 18, 2017;

4. DHS and HHS may assert any applicable privileges, including but not limited to deliberative process privilege and law enforcement privilege, over responsive documents (or specific portions thereof), and will maintain a privilege log which will be updated and provided to Plaintiffs on a rolling basis;

5. In complying with this Order, in lieu of conducting a complete review of all ESI at this time, DHS and HHS shall make a good faith effort to identify key custodians who have documents responsive to the above Requests (including communicating with Plaintiffs regarding the selection of custodians), and shall conduct a good faith review of the e-mails of these custodians to locate responsive documents.

1  
2 Dated: September 8, 2017  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



---

The Hon. Vince Chhabria  
U.S. District Court, Northern District of California